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**Running Head and Abstract**

* **Title:** The Multifractal Asset Pricing Model: A Revolutionary Unified Framework for Derivative Valuation and Market Efficiency.
* **Core Idea:** MAPM unifies Pareto-Lévy stable distributions (tail index α), fractional Brownian motion (Hurst exponent H), and multifractal intermittency (λ) into a single three-parameter system for pricing derivatives and diagnosing market efficiency.
* **Key Point:** Traditional derivative models are fragmented, each patching flaws of predecessors. MAPM replaces this model sprawl with a consistent, universal law validated by cross-market data.[[1]](#fn1)

**Introduction**

**Unifying Three Mathematical Traditions**

* **Pareto-Lévy Stable Distributions:** Governs tails and convolution stability (α parameter).
* **Fractional Brownian Motion:** Describes long memory and persistence (H parameter).
* **Multifractal Theory:** Addresses intermittency and regime switching (λ parameter).
* The innovation is that α must remain constant across all derivatives for a given asset, while H and λ adapt by derivative class due to differing probability/path sampling.[[1]](#fn1)

**Mathematical Foundations**

**Parameter Consistency and Variation**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Framework | Role | Consistency Type | NASDAQ-100 Estimate |
| α | Pareto-Lévy | Tail, convolution | Universal (per asset) | 1.8 ± 0.034 |
| H | fBM | Long-range dependence | Derivative-specific | 0.55 ± 0.02 |
| λ | Multifractal | Clustering, regime shift | Derivative-specific | 0.12 ± 0.03 |

* **α consistency:** Confirmed via empirical cross-derivative F-tests (fail to reject).
* **H, λ:** Vary in predictable ways (e.g., path-averaging for Asians reduces H; barriers amplify H and λ, digitals isolate tails).[[1]](#fn1)

**Twelve Fundamental Theorems**

Highlights include:

* **Three-Framework Scaling Universality:** Scaling relationships across assets and derivatives constrained by α=const, with allowed H, λ variation.
* **Kelly Efficiency:** Kelly trading drives expected return to zero while maintaining/transforming multifractal structure (red noise inputs become pink noise output, i.e., power spectrum slope -1).
* **Derivative-Specific Characteristic Function Pricing:** Each derivative priced by tailored characteristic function densities (Zolotarev approach).[[1]](#fn1)

**Contingent Claim Partitioning**

* **Every derivative payoff** is a partition/integral over a specific subset of the universal multifractal return density.
* **Consistency Table:** Traditional models (Black-Scholes, Heston, local vol, jump-diffusion) fail at regime recognition, parameter stability, and crisis performance; MAPM is robust due to the three-parameter law.
* **Comparative Table:** MAPM uses only three parameters but matches or exceeds regime and crisis performance of all alternatives.[[1]](#fn1)

**Empirical Results**

* **NASDAQ-100 Results (1998–2025):** Universal α=1.80 across all derivatives; H, λ structured by instrument type.
* **F-tests:** Fail to reject α constancy (p=0.31).
* **Robustness:** Time-stability of α over subsamples (1998–2005, 2006–2015, 2016–2025). Predictable, theory-matching functional H, λ relationships for path-dependent payoffs.
* **Accuracy:** Out-of-sample RMSE improved 18% vs. fixed parameter, 40% vs Black-Scholes, 33% vs Heston.
* **Tables:** Present H and λ by derivative, with statistical validation (t-tests for Asian/barrier vs underlying, etc.).[[1]](#fn1)

**Theoretical and Practical Implications**

* **Conclusion:** Paradigm shift—efficient, arbitraged markets with memory (H ≠ 0.5) and clustering (λ ≠ 0); all derivatives priced as contingent-claim partitions of a single law; market efficiency is an emergent, not imposed, property.
* **Risk Management and Portfolio Construction:** All risk metrics can be expressed in terms of the same three parameters; consistent for portfolio aggregation and instrument-level hedging.

**References and Figures**

* **Citations:** Zolotarev (stable laws), Mandelbrot (multifractals), Kelly (growth and arbitrage), and all major derivative pricing models.
* **Planned Figures:** Schematic of parameter relationships, validation scatterplots, H/λ by instrument graphs, pricing error comparisons.
* **Appendices:** Mathematical derivations of parameter constancy, empirical estimation techniques, simulation and robustness protocols.[[1]](#fn1)
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**TITLE**

**The Multifractal Asset Pricing Model**

*A Revolutionary Unified Framework for Derivative Valuation and Market Efficiency*

**Abstract**

This paper introduces the Multifractal Asset Pricing Model (MAPM), a revolutionary framework that unifies three distinct mathematical traditions: Pareto-Lévy stable distribution theory (parameter α), fractional Brownian motion theory (H parameter), and multifractal theory (λ parameter). MAPM replaces traditional derivative pricing with a unified three-parameter system that treats every derivative as a claim on specific parts of a multifractal return distribution. The stability index α follows Pareto-Lévy distribution constraints with empirical bounds 1.5–1.8 for financial time series. Through the Pareto-Lévy convolution theorem, α remains constant across all derivatives for a given underlying asset. However, the Hurst exponent H and intermittency coefficient λ may vary by derivative type based on their specific sampling of the underlying multifractal process. Our analysis of NASDAQ 100 data from 1998–2025 shows α = 1.8 across all derivative classes, while H and λ exhibit predictable derivative-specific patterns. Following Zolotarev (1986), MAPM uses characteristic functions for density recovery since stable distributions generally lack closed-form PDFs or CDFs. Market efficiency emerges through Kelly-criterion trading that transforms clustered red-noise input into scale-invariant pink-noise output. We establish twelve fundamental theorems providing rigorous mathematical foundations. MAPM represents the most significant advance since Black-Scholes by combining three mathematical frameworks into a unified derivative pricing theory.

*Keywords*: Stable distributions, fractional Brownian motion, multifractal processes, derivative pricing, Kelly criterion  
*JEL Classifications*: G12, G13, C58, C61[[7]](#fn7)

**1. Introduction: Unifying Three Mathematical Traditions**

**1.1 The Crisis in Traditional Derivative Modeling**

Modern derivative pricing has become a maze of disconnected models. Each model tries to fix specific failures of the Black-Scholes framework. Stochastic volatility models add random volatility processes; jump-diffusion models include discontinuous price movements. Local volatility models fit current implied surfaces. Exotic derivative pricing relies on Monte Carlo simulation or complex differential equations. This fragmented landscape requires hundreds or thousands of parameters, creates internal inconsistencies across instrument classes, and provides no unified theoretical foundation. The problem is conceptual: Traditional approaches assume complex market phenomena require complex models, leading to an ever-expanding collection of auxiliary processes and parameters. Each new empirical anomaly spawns additional model complexity.[[7]](#fn7)

**1.2 The MAPM Revolution: Synthesis of Three Mathematical Frameworks**

MAPM represents a paradigm shift, linking:

* **Pareto-Lévy stable distribution theory** (α: universal tail index): Heavy tails and convolution stability, demands α be identical across all derivatives for an asset.
* **Fractional Brownian motion (Hurst exponent H):** Governs long memory and persistence. H may vary by derivative due to path sampling.
* **Multifractal theory (intermittency coefficient λ):** Captures volatility clustering and regime changes, also derivative-dependent.

**1.3 Mathematical Integration and Parameter Consistency**

MAPM unites these traditions by combining their three parameters—the stability index α (must be constant by Pareto-Lévy convolution), H (may vary by derivative sampling), and λ (also derivative-path dependent). This recognizes that convolution stability (α) is universal, but H and λ adapt to the payoff's sampling of the multifractal process. Each derivative is a contingent claim on a specific probability domain of the underlying multifractal law.[[7]](#fn7)

**2. Mathematical Foundations: Three-Framework Integration**

**2.1 Pareto-Lévy Stability Index and Parameter Consistency**

* Stability index α: Pareto-Lévy law, 1 < α < 2, with 1.5–1.8 empirically for equity time series. Must be identical across derivatives.
* For NASDAQ 100: α = 1.8 ± 0.034 for all products.[[7]](#fn7)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Source/Framework | Symbol | Empirical Range | Mathematical Role | Derivative Consistency |
| Stability α | Pareto-Lévy Theory | α | 1.8 ± 0.034 | Heavy tails, convolution | Must be identical |
| Hurst H | fBM | H | 0.55 ± 0.02 | Long-range dependence | Can vary by sampling |
| Intermittency | Multifractal | λ | 0.124 ± 0.03 | Volatility clustering | Can vary by path |

**2.2 Fractional Brownian Motion and Derivative-Specific Sampling**

* H can be derivative-specific: European (direct), Asian (lower, averages), Barrier (higher, trends and extremes), Digital (minimal change).

**2.3 Multifractal Theory and Path-Dependence Effects**

* λ governs clustering; higher for exotics such as barriers. Identified regimes (MaxEnt):
  + Regime I: Low λ (efficient, minimal clustering)
  + Regime II: Moderate λ (clustering matches normal markets)
  + Regime III: High λ (crisis, extreme clustering)

**2.4 Lambda Regimes and MaxEnt Analysis**

* Each regime is quantitatively described, with transition probabilities and statistical classifications for NASDAQ-100.[[7]](#fn7)

**2.5 Characteristic Functions and Density Recovery**

* Density function for each derivative is reconstructed from the universal α and derivative-specific H, λ using characteristic function techniques (Zolotarev 1986), since stable PDFs are unavailable in closed-form.[[7]](#fn7)

**3. Fundamental Theorems (Twelve, Presented as Core Results)**

* Theorem 1: Scaling universality—α constant, H/λ derivative-specific
* Theorem 4: Kelly-optimal trading yields Er\_t=0 martingale property while α remains constant, but H/λ adapt to payoff
* Theorem 5: Each derivative class requires characteristic function inversion using consistent α, variable H, λ

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Theorem | Parameter Scope | Key Result | Empirical Test | Status |
| #1 | All parameters | Scaling universality Sq~qH–qq–1/2 | Structure function | Validated |
| #2 | Universal α | Cross-derivative identity | F-test | Validated |
| #3 | H variation | Derivative-specific, path dependence | t-tests | Validated |
| #4 | Kelly efficiency | Er\_t=0 across parameters (martingale) | Beta tests | Validated |
| #5 | α, H, λ | Mathematical form, regressions | R²=0.85 for mapping | Validated |

**4. Contingent Claim Partitioning and Derivative-Specific Implementation**

**Universal-Specific Pricing Formula**

* Pricing is:
  + **Universal α:** Imposed by convolution theorem
  + **Derivative-specific H, λ:** Inferred by sampling/path structure for each instrument

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Parameters | Regime Recognition | Consistency | Crisis Performance |
| Black-Scholes | 1 | No | Poor | Fails |
| Heston | 5 | No | Poor | Fails |
| Local Vol | 100 | No | Very Poor | Fails |
| Jump-Diff | 6–8 | No | Poor | Moderate |
| **MAPM** | α, H, λ | Yes (3 regimes) | Excellent | Robust |

**European Options: Baseline**

* α from underlying
* H: direct, no path effect
* λ: minimized

**Asian Options: Averaging effects**

* H: reduced (averaging)
* λ: reduced (smooths clustering)

**Barrier Options: Sensitivity**

* H: higher (trends, first-passage)
* λ: higher (more clustering)

**Digital Options: Pure tail probability**

* H: minimal modification
* λ: binary effect

**5. Market Efficiency Through Kelly Criterion Under Parameter Variation**

* Kelly trading enforces driftless martingale Er\_t=0 while preserving the full multifractal structure and universal α.
* All derivatives undergo spectral transformation, converting red-noise (input) into pink-noise (output) under Kellyenforced efficiency.
* Each derivative produces a different pink spectrum due to H, λ specifics.[[7]](#fn7)

**6. Empirical Results: Parameter Consistency and Variation**

**NASDAQ-100 (1998–2025)**

* α=1.798 ±0.034, stable across all classes and periods
* H, λ: Derivative-relative changes predicted by theory, confirmed by t-tests and regressions

**Main Findings**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Derivative | H mean | λ mean | H (t, p) | λ (t, p) |
| Underlying | 0.547 ±.02 | .324 | – | – |
| European | 0.545 ±.03 | .321 | –0.18, 0.86 | –0.09, 0.93 |
| Asian | 0.493 ±.03 | .267 | –3.47, 0.001\*\* | –2.89, 0.004\*\* |
| Barrier | 0.584 ±.02 | .389 | 4.23, 0.001\*\* | 3.15, 0.002\*\* |
| Digital | 0.549 ±.03 | .328 | – | – |

* **No evidence against α constancy (F=1.23, p=0.31)**
* **Parameter relationships (all derivatives): R² ≈ 0.85**

**Out-of-sample performance:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Model | RMSE | Improvement (vs MAPM-Var) |
| MAPM-Var | 0.732 | – |
| MAPM-Fixed | 0.891 | –18% |
| B-Scholes | 1.224 | –40% |
| Heston | 1.087 | –33% |

**7. Conclusion: Revolutionary Parameter Structure Discovery**

* Empirical and theoretical evidence confirms only α must remain constant by convolution; H and λ map to derivative path/sampling structure. This yields a constrained, diagnosis-ready, three-parameter world for all derivatives on an underlying asset.
* **MAPM achieves historic unification**, eliminating model-sprawl, parameter explosions, and regime inconsistency by integrating a single empirical law for all asset classes and payoffs. Model risk, hedging, and systemic oversight improve as a result.
* Three-parameter law (universal α, specific H, λ) is forecastable, testable, and robust across markets and time.

**Additional Sections, Planned Figures, and Appendices**

* Schematic figures of the parameter consistency/variation
* Cross-market extensions to other asset classes
* Step-by-step estimation methods (maximum likelihood, structure functions, FFT-based density recovery)
* Statistical test appendices (Monte Carlo simulations, cross-derivative F-tests, regression models)
* Risk management and portfolio aggregation via three-parameter laws
* Empirical diagnostics for market efficiency, systemic risk, and structural crises
* Implementation guidance for practitioners and researchers.[[7]](#fn7)
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**what are typica values for multifractal triple fo nasdaq 100**

Typical values for the multifractal triple—alpha (tail index), H (Hurst exponent), and lambda (intermittency parameter)—for daily log returns of the NASDAQ 100 (1998–2015) are:

* **Alpha (tail/heavy-tailed index)**: 1.85 (upper and lower tails confirm market heavy tails; stable across regimes).[[19]](#fn19)
* **Hurst exponent (H)**: 0.53 (overall, suggesting mild persistence. Sub-regime values: 0.55 in dot-com crisis, 0.51 in calmer mid-cycle, 0.54 in financial crisis/QE era).[[20]](#fn20)[[19]](#fn19)
* **Lambda (intermittency/volatility clustering)**: 0.22 (full sample). Regime values: 0.25 (dot-com and bust), 0.14 (calm), 0.24 (crisis/QE).[[19]](#fn19)

These three parameters robustly reproduce the stylized facts of NASDAQ returns: stable tail risk, regime-dependent volatility clustering, and consistent mild persistence. They align with broader empirical multifractal research in finance.[[21]](#fn21)[[20]](#fn20)[[19]](#fn19)

**Empirical Ranges for Major US Equity Indices**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Typical Range | NASDAQ 100 Value |
| **Alpha** | 1.7–1.85 | 1.85 |
| **Hurst** | 0.50–0.60 | 0.53 |
| **Lambda** | 0.10–0.25 | 0.22 |

These values are consistent with multifractal asset pricing literature and are validated by both structure function regression and direct tail estimation on price series. The tail index remains remarkably stable, while intermittency (lambda) varies cyclically with market stress.[[21]](#fn21)[[20]](#fn20)[[19]](#fn19)

**Methods and Sample**

* **Computation**: Hill/peaks-over-threshold for alpha; covariance slope for lambda; log–log structure function for Hurst.
* **Sample**: 4,600+ daily returns (1998–2015).
* **Identification**: Empirical scaling laws robustly confirm the model, with regime detection using MaxEnt techniques and rolling window fits.[[19]](#fn19)[[21]](#fn21)

These are the benchmark multifractal triple values to use for quantitative analysis or derivative pricing in NASDAQ 100 research. For other assets, expect alpha 1.7–1.85, H 0.50–0.65, and lambda 0.10–0.25 for well-arbitraged markets.[[20]](#fn20)[[21]](#fn21)[[19]](#fn19)
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